UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 SACRAMENTO DIVISION 3 4 Case No. 2:24-cv-02527-JAM-CKD CHRISTOPHER KOHLS, 5 PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION Plaintiff, 6 AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY **JUDGMENT AGAINST AB 2655** v. 7 EXTENDED ORAL ARGUMENT ROBERT A. BONTA, et al., 8 REQUESTED Defendants. 9 **Date**: August 5, 2025 **Time**: 1:00 p.m. 10 Crtrm: 6, 14th floor Judge: John A. Mendez 11 THE BABYLON BEE, LLC, et al., 12 Plaintiffs, 13 v. 14 ROBERT A. BONTA, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 RUMBLE, INC., et al., 17 Plaintiffs, 18 v. 19 ROBERT A. BONTA, et al., 20 Defendants. 21 22 X CORP., 23 Plaintiff. 24 v. 25 ROBERT A. BONTA, et al., 26 Defendants. 27

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST AB 2655

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 5, 2025, at 1:00 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, Plaintiffs X Corp., Rumble Inc. and Rumble Canada Inc., Christopher Kohls, The Babylon Bee, and Kelly Chang Rickert hereby move pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Civil Local Rule 260 for an order granting summary judgment in Plaintiffs' favor, declaring California Assembly Bill No. 2655 ("AB 2655"), which is codified in law at California Election Code §§ 20510–20520, constitutionally and legally invalid, and permanently enjoining Defendants from enforcing it.

This Motion is based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion for Summary Judgment Against AB 2655; the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Plaintiffs' Statement of Undisputed Facts; the Declaration of X Corp.'s Strategy and Operations Team and the exhibits annexed thereto; the Declaration of Joel Kurtzberg and the exhibits annexed thereto; the Declaration of Christopher Kohls and the exhibits annexed thereto; the Declaration of Rumble and the exhibits annexed thereto; the Declaration of Kelly Chang Rickert and the exhibits annexed thereto; the Declaration of Seth Dillon and the exhibits annexed thereto; any oral argument of counsel; all pleadings and papers on file in this action; and any additional materials or evidence as may be presented to the Court.

As set forth in the accompanying memorandum, AB 2655 violates the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and Article I, Section 2, of the California Constitution, because it infringes the constitutionally protected content-moderation speech rights of covered platforms, like X and Rumble, thereby pressuring them to censor speech of content creators, such as Christopher Kohls, The Babylon Bee, and Kelly Chang Rickert, leading to self-censorship, while also compelling the covered platforms' speech; regulates speech based on content, viewpoint, and the identity of the speaker; is not the least restrictive means available to advance any government interest; imposes a prior restraint on constitutionally protected speech of which the State of

¹ Plaintiff X Corp. provides the X platform. Plaintiffs Rumble Inc. and Rumble Canada Inc. provide the Rumble platform.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

California disapproves; and violates the Free Press Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

AB 2655 also directly contravenes, and is thus preempted by, 47 U.S.C. §§ 230(c)(1) and 230(c)(2)(B), because it (1) imposes civil liability on large online platforms such as X and Rumble by holding them responsible for the content on their platforms as if they were the publisher of that content, as prohibited by Section 230(c)(1); and (2) holds large online platforms liable if they do not sufficiently comply with AB 2655's reporting requirement (Section 20516), since such liability is on account of actions taken to enable or make available the technical means to restrict access to objectionable material, which are actions immunized under Section 230(c)(2)(B).

AB 2655 also violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution on overbreadth and vagueness grounds, because the statute's requirements and prohibitions are so vague and unintelligible that large online platforms such as X and Rumble cannot understand how to comply with them, and will thus over-censor speech to avoid costly litigation. In addition, the law's vagueness gives enforcement authorities unbridled discretion to enforce the law based on impermissible content-based and viewpoint-based considerations, which also violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments.

For these reasons, as well as those set forth in the accompanying memorandum, AB 2655 violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and Article 1, Section 2, of the California Constitution, and directly conflicts with, and is thus preempted by, 47 U.S.C. §§ 230(c)(1) and 230(c)(2)(B). Accordingly, this Court should grant Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment Against AB 2655, declare AB 2655 constitutionally and legally invalid, and permanently enjoin its enforcement by Defendants.

22

23

24

25 26

| | Dated: March 7, 2025 | |
|-----|---|---|
| 1 2 | By: /s/ Joel Kurtzberg CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP Joel Kurtzberg (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) | CHAVEZ-OCHOA LAW OFFICES, INC Brian R. Chavez-Ochoa (SBN 190289) 4 Jean Street, Suite 4 |
| 3 | Floyd Abrams (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Jason Rozbruch (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) | Valley Springs, CA 95252 Phone: 209-772-3013 |
| 4 | 32 Old Slip New York, NY 10005 | brianr@chavezochoalaw.com |
| 5 | Phone: 212-701-3120 Facsimile: 212-269-5420 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs The Babylon Bee, LLC and Kelly Chang Rickert |
| 6 | jkurtzberg@cahill.com | /s/ Mathew W. Hoffmann |
| 7 | DOWNEY BRAND LLP William R. Warne (SBN 141280) Meghan M. Baker (SBN 243765) | ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM Mathew W. Hoffmann Philip A. Sechler |
| 8 | 621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 | 44180 Riverside Parkway Lansdowne, VA 20176 |
| 10 | Phone: 916-444-1000 Facsimile: 916-520-5910 | Phone: 571-707-4655 Facsimile: 571-707-4656 mhoffmann@ADFlegal.org |
| 11 | Attorneys for Plaintiff X Corp. | psechler@ADFlegal.org |
| 12 | /s/ Adam E. Schulman HAMILTON LINCOLN LAW INSTITUTE | ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM Mercer Martin |
| 13 | Adam E. Schulman (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Theodore H. Frank (SBN 196332) 1629 K Street NW, Suite 300 Washington, | 15100 N. 90th Street Scottsdale, AZ 85260 Phone: 480-444-0020 |
| 14 | DC 20006 Phone: 610-457-0856 | Facsimile: 480-444-0028 |
| 15 | adam.schulman@hlli.org | mmartin@ADFlegal.org |
| 16 | ted.frank@hlli.org | CHAVEZ-OCHOA LAW OFFICES, INC Brian R. Chavez-Ochoa (SBN 190289) |
| 17 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Christopher Kohls | 4 Jean Street, Suite 4 Valley Springs, CA 95252 Phone: 209-772-3013 |
| 18 | /s/Johannes Widmalm-Delphonse ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM Johannes Widmalm-Delphonse | brianr@chavezochoalaw.com |
| 19 | 44180 Riverside Parkway Lansdowne, VA 20176 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs Rumble Inc. and Rumble Canada Inc. |
| 20 | Phone: 571-707-4655 | Kumvie Canada Inc. |
| 21 | jwidmalmdelphonse@ADFlegal.org | |
| 22 | ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM Jonathan A. Scruggs 15100 N. 90th Street | |
| 23 | Scottsdale, AZ 85260 | |
| 24 | Phone: 480-444-0020 Facsimile: 480-444-0028 | |
| 25 | jscruggs@ADFlegal.org | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST AB 2655

1

2

4

3

56

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I caused to be filed a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which automatically sends an electronic notification to all attorneys of record in this case.

Dated: March 7, 2025

By: <u>/s/ Joel Kurtzberg</u> CAHILL GORDON & REINDEL LLP Joel Kurtzberg (admitted *pro hac vice*) 32 Old Slip New York, NY 10005

Phone: 212-701-3120 Facsimile: 212-269-5420 jkurtzberg@cahill.com